In Essay on Thy to logia Respectfully Submitted to the Faculty of the Homoeopathic Medical College of Pennsylvania Thirty-first day of January One Thousand Eight Hundred and Fifty-Two; Am ashom Veed of Penneylvania.

Thy tologia.

Ince one those who deem the study of Botany of but little importance to the Physician. They look upon it merely as an interesting or amusing study fittee rather for the man of leisure, or at best for the student of nature. Forgetting the advantages already accruing to the medical profession from its cultivation by but a few, they cannot see why the many or all who enter the ranks of the profession are to be benefitted by it.

Fortunately, the founders of the Homosepathic Medical College of Penneylvania considered the cultivation of this science as necessary to the complete education of the Medical Student. They accordingly established a chair of Botany, to file which they have chosen an experienced and enthusiastic practical Botanist and Physician where teachings have already inspired his pupils at least with the belief that the Science of Botany will be come a faithful handmaid to the science of

Homoespathy.

Let us consider but a few of the reasons why the Physician Should seek an intimate acquaintence with this Science.

I. In our Materia Medica we find that threefifths of the entire number of medicaments used are preparations from plants.

Now all will admit that it is necessary for the Monocopathic Physician to be certain that the medicine which he administers is the same as that according to whose pathogenesis he is prescribing:

without this certainty, he can never have an inteligent faith in his practice and his doubt is at the expense of his patients' health or life.

The Physicians of the Old School may content themselves with administering Red-Lead for The precipitate, Dried Blood or Asphaltum for Moschus, a misture of liquorice, much and Cow dung for Opium, Plesia for Guiacum, the fetals of Calendula or Carthamus tinctorius for Crocus and Bryonia vot for Jalapa - Perhaps the substitution may prove to be better for the patient. it is not likely that it should be worse.

But the Homoeopath, who looks to the symptomatic group of a disease must have that drug whose pathogenesis offers the like group; and unless he is sure that he has this same drug he count effectively prescribe for his patient.

Nor in what way will the science of Botany, once us here? It swelf, will afford no assistance in the detection of a want of genuineness in those medicaments which are derived from the mineral and Animas lingdoms; nor in those, which although vegetable substances, come to us in the various forms of gums, resins, twictures and extracts from aboad, the plants from which they are derived perhaps never coming before our right.

But it is with reference to those plants which can and which ought to come under our own notice that an acquaintance with this science will become necessary. The native plants of our own country are laking in-

portant places in our Materia Medica; and the same

also carefully scrutinizes those substances from which the symptoms are professedly derived. An Old - School authority may give ane plant the name of another and their Symptomen Codes remain uninjured for they have none. But the Homoespath will now, it is to be hoped, call (for instance) the Rhus Vernis the Rhus Radicans * Should are be found to make this foolish blunder pahaps, it would be well for his punishment to be, to ust for a fur minutes in the shade of the one or to take an ease, swing on the other -

New the peants of one own country like all other plants can be propely determined only by their botanical distinctions; and the Physician who would seek every opportunity to prepare his own medicines; and this he should do, must have the view of Botany if he would gather his medicinal plants with cutainty. We say then, that a knowledge of this science is necessary to the Physician inasmuch as it is his duty to be free from doubt as to the genuineness of his medicines.

* Vide Dunglinsons Med. Dict. article Thus ractions:

11. It becomes the duty of the following Hahnemann to institute provings of new substances. To it is necessary, when after having made his provings he would offer them to his brothren, that he should carefully and scientifically describe the Substance proved. Now should this be a vegetable product how can that from which it is derived be accurately described without the Botanical language and classification? Pages descriptive of the plant may be mitten and the reader be get uncertain what plant is meant, and the labor of the prover be lost - or what is worse, the provings, themselves valuable, be of no effect. Nor will it answer for the uninitiated to trust to a consultation of Botamical text-books to gain a proper description or the generic and specific names of the plant. In such a case the vulgar name is that which usually quides him in his search, and, as every Botanist knows, is that which is no quide at all. A recent journal has given us some most valuable

A recent journal has given us some most valuable symptoms belonging to a cutain well known plant of one gardens. But the contributer after rans acking his books

has christened his plant with the bolamical name of another entirely different species. Puhaps by this time many Homoerpathic practitioners in different fracts of our land have made tinctures of the plant whose bolomical name was given, and have lost their faith in the contribution of the excellent and otherwise very learned Physician.

In this way much mischief may result if it be only from losing time by administering a eveny remedy. Let proves remember that their aches and pains, their pulsings and frurges will all be of no avail if they cannot tell what

it was that so afflicted them.

III. In the treatment of disease the observing practitioner of the full the want of some medicinal agent with the same general or major symptoms processed by that which he may at the time be administering, but with different minor symptoms or conditions. This want has already, in some instances, been supplied, but almost exclusively among the mineral medicines, while but few similarly close relationships have as yet been determined among

regetable substances. Thus we have the Mucurius vivus, solubilis, praeciperute and cours. Subl. interchanging with each other, and in like manner the acetates of Copper and Jine with the pure metals: While in the Degetable Kingdom we have the relationship of the Phus Toxicodendron, Padicions and Vernix, also the Juglans Regia, Cenirea and Pripa, and besides these but a Just.

The pancity of these relationships in our Materia Medica may be rightly attributed to the want of method in se lecting substances for trial. A sort of odd mania has led some provers to vie with each other in selecting strange material for trial. We have thus obtained the Symptoms of the louse, the spider, the bed-bug, the skunk, crabs eyes and of crabs entire; and we may expect to hear of the pathogenesis of the Inapper, terrapin and lobster, or perhaps of horse hair or a cato teeth or of a pig's tail. It is conceded that from every substance medicinal properties may be eliminated. We might make a trituration of a piece of the roof of a house and would undoubt edly find some symptoms which would be of great use.

But why go so for for a proving? Tohy such haphazard? If in our Maleria Medica we find a need for remedies of a particular character let us seek for such with whatever aid is proffered us in our search. Now it is not pretended that the science of Botany in its present condition will conduct us to a plant containing any particular qualities we may desire. Perhaps had more Physicians devoted time to the advancement of the science this object might already have been partially gained. But, as it is, the genera of the botanical arrangement will frequently point out plants of like qualities associated together. Thus we see the genus Canunculus offers us the Bulbosus, the Sceleratus, the acris and the Hammula. Solanum points to its Quelcamara, nigrum, Lycopersicon and (mammosum. The Lobelia inflata has suggested the Cardinalis. But, perhaps of all, the Thus affords the best example. This genus gives us the Toxico dendron, the Cadicans and the bernix, all three of which (for instance) produce a besicular erysipelas

but with separate and characteristic accompanying symptoms.

If then there be need for a systematic selection of substances for provings, and if the botanical arrangement of plants will facilitate the search for vegetable substances of desired qualities, swely it becomes the Homoeopath to make himself acquainted with the Botanical Science.

No the begetable kingdom, as in the other kingdoms of nature the proofs of design are abundant. From all the works of the Creation we infer the Benevolence of the Creator, and by his revelation we are made sure of it. Now as God has strewn the earth with an innumerable variety of plants with some design, and as ive believe He has done this in accordance with His benevolence, we cannot gain a knowledge of what design or gethat benevolence has been displayed, unless we find out to what uses they have been adained.

Man thanks the breath for the bread he eats. We offer up our thanks givings for bountiful harvests and for the

various fruits of the land. In all this we recognize the design and benevolence of God as manifested in a certain class of plants, to be that they should minister to the sustenance and enjoyment of man.

But we find that many-very many plants are capable of producing deviations from health when partaken of by persons of same bodies, and of curing such deviations from health in those suffering disease. We may reasonably infer that such plants were designed in the benevolence of the Creater for the curing of disease. And if so many have been found to have these qualities, and as we cannot think that the myriads of plants yet untried are without some office, is it not at least probable - highly probable that throughout the Vegetable Creation there hie hidden many Valuable healing agents waiting only the pursevering search of the Medical Botanist to be made effectual in the subduing of disease, many forms of which are now the terror of the Physician because he is yet without their specific remedy. Does it not then become the earnest Physician to study

well this department of God's creation, to advance the Science whose object it is, but which yet in vain endeavors to comprehend it, and to hasten by his labors the time when plants shall not be sought by an artificial but by a natural method deduced from their well defined qualities? Surely our aconite, our Belladowna, our Pulsatilla and the many other well tried and faithful vegetable medicines invite us to search for their relatives in their kingdom. To do this we must have a chart and a quide, and these are the Science of Botany and the practical Botanist.

V. We will only hint at the illustrations and Suggestions to be derived from Vegetable Physiology so useful in our analogical study of Human Physiology.

Mu will we stop to show how a knowledge of the plants in our neighborhoods may assist us in making an early diagnosis in certain cases of poisoning.

But, puhaps, we may pleasantly conclude by emsideing the study aside from its really scientific usefulness, as a proper and wise, as well as a most delightful recreation from the

Severer studies and the onerous duties of the profession. Thould be a healthful change for the Physician to turn oway at times from the uneightly, the deformed and the loathesome to rest his eyes on the beautiful, the profest, and the inviting, conscious that while seeking his enjoyment he adds to that which at length will assist him in the healing of the sick. Without this consciousness the Fhysician has no right to enjoye in any present, for as the Minister spends his life in battling with the diseases of the Soul- So must the Physician devote his life to battling with the diseases of the Body.